arXiv:math-ph/0105007v1 4 May 2001

Hypergeometric-like Representation of the Zeta-Function of Riemann*

Krzysztof Maślanka†
Astronomical Observatory of the Jagiellonian University
ul. Orla 171
30-244 Cracow, POLAND

July 1997

Abstract

We present a new expansion of the zeta-function of Riemann. It is given by the formula (1) below.

The current formalism – which combines both the idea of interpolation with constraints and the concept of hypergeometric functions – can, in a natural way, be generalised within the theory of the zeta-function of Hawking offering thus a variety of applications in quantum field theory, quantum cosmology and statistical mechanics.

*Cracow Observatory preprint
†e-mail:maslanka@oa.uj.edu.pl

The aim of this paper is to prove a new expansion of the zeta-function of Riemann (see e.g. [Titchmarsh 1986]) . Our approach combines both the idea of interpolation with constraints and the theory of hypergeometric functions. Further details can be found in [Maslanka 1997].

Theorem 1 *The following series:*

$$Z(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(k+1-\frac{s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{s}{2}\right)} \frac{A_k}{k!} \tag{1}$$

where

$$A_k = \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} (2j+1)\zeta(2j+2) \tag{2}$$

are numerical coefficients, represents the zeta-function of Riemann

$$\zeta(s) \stackrel{df}{=} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-s} \tag{3}$$

We call this expansion 'hypergeometric-like' since, after introducing an obvious symbolic notation, we may simply re-write (1) in a manifestly hypergeometric form

$$Z(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} {}_1F_0\left(1-\frac{s}{2}; A\right) = \frac{1}{s-1}(1-A)^{\frac{s}{2}-1} \tag{4}$$

where successive k-th 'powers' of A are to be understood as the appropriate coefficients A_k. In (4) we have made use of

$${}_1F_0(a;x) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+a)}{\Gamma(a)} \frac{x^k}{k!} = (1-x)^{-a} \tag{5}$$

Contrary to the definition (3) in which, in order to assure convergence, the condition $\mathrm{Re}\, s > 1$ must be satisfied, the series (1) is convergent on the whole plane of the complex variable s, in particular – at the point $s = 0$, which is important for various applications in theoretical physics (see [Hawking 1977] for more details). It should also be stressed out that, in spite of its appearance, the definition (2) of coefficients A_k is completely independent of zeta itself since the latter, for positive and even arguments, may be expressed

with the use of the Bernoulli numbers[1], i. e. with no explicit reference to the zeta-function itself.

Proof

Replacing in the definition (2) of A_k the zeta function according to its basic definition (3) and reversing the order of summations gives

$$\begin{aligned} A_k &= \sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^j\binom{k}{j}(2j+1)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^{2j+2}} = \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^j\binom{k}{j}(2j+1)\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2j} \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Let us further note that

$$(2j+1)\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2j} = n\lim_{\alpha\to 1}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\alpha}\left(\frac{\alpha}{n}\right)^{2j+1} \tag{7}$$

where α is an auxiliary continuous parameter. Substituting (7) into (6) gives

$$A_k = \lim_{\alpha\to 1}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\alpha}\left[\alpha\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^j\binom{k}{j}\left(\frac{\alpha^2}{n^2}\right)^j\right] \tag{8}$$

[1]In spite of their appearence, formulae (1) and (2) are not tautological. Indeed, by using

$$\zeta(2n) = -\frac{(-1)^n(2\pi)^{2n}}{2(2n)!}B_{2n} \qquad (n=0,1,2,...)$$

where the Bernoulli numbers are

$$B_n = \sum_{l=0}^{n}\frac{1}{l+1}\sum_{i=0}^{l}(-1)^i\binom{l}{i}i^n \qquad (n=0,1,2,...)$$

the zeta function of Riemann, for positive and even arguments, may be expressed using a finite number of simple arithmetic operations. Hence the coefficients (2) may also be expressed in the form

$$A_k = \sum_{j=0}^{k}\binom{k}{j}\frac{\pi^{2j+2}}{(2)_j\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_j}B_{2j+2}$$

where $(a)_k$ denotes Pochhammer symbol. In other words, according to (1) and (2), $\zeta(s)$ function of Riemann is completely determined everywhere solely by its values in $s = 2n, n = 1, 2, ...$, i. e. in the points where it can be computed independently (and exactly).

The last sum in (8) may be recognized as the Newton binomial expansion. Hence

$$A_k = \lim_{\alpha\to 1} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\alpha}\left[\alpha \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}\left(1-\frac{\alpha^2}{n^2}\right)^k\right] \tag{9}$$

giving thus yet another expression for the coefficients A_k.

Employing (9) in the expansion (1) yields, after some rearrangements,

$$\begin{aligned} Z(s) &= \frac{1}{s-1}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(k+1-\frac{s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{s}{2}\right)}\frac{A_k}{k!} = \\ &= \frac{1}{s-1}\lim_{\alpha\to 1}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\alpha}\left[\alpha\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\Gamma\left(k+1-\frac{s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{s}{2}\right)}\frac{\left(1-\frac{\alpha^2}{n^2}\right)^k}{k!}\right] \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where the last sum coincides with the hypergeometric function ${}_1F_0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} Z(s) &= \frac{1}{s-1}\lim_{\alpha\to 1}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\alpha}\left[\alpha\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}{}_1F_0\left(1-\frac{s}{2};1-\frac{\alpha^2}{n^2}\right)\right] = \\ &= \frac{1}{s-1}\lim_{\alpha\to 1}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\alpha}\alpha^{1+s-2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)^{\frac{s}{2}-1} = \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^s} \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

which, according to the definition (3), is[2] really $\zeta(s)$. In (11) we have made use of (5). This completes the proof of expansion (1).■

Discussion

Coefficients (2) look suspiciously simple, even a bit tautological. In order to understand how they actually work let us simply replace $\zeta(2j+2)$ by unity (which is justifiable only for large j). Then we have

$$a_k = \sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^j\binom{k}{j}(2j+1) \tag{12}$$

and these are just $a_0 = 1, a_1 = -2, a_k = 0$ for $k = 2, 3, ...$ which is obviously convergent to zero. The following table contains integer numbers which are

[2]More precisely, expansion (1) is an analytic continuation of the series (3).

under the sum (12) with k labelling columns and j labelling rows:

	$k=0$	$k=1$	$k=2$	$k=3$	$k=4$
$j=0$	1	1	1	1	1
$j=1$	0	-3	-6	-9	-12
$j=2$	0	0	5	15	30
$j=3$	0	0	0	-7	-28
$j=4$	0	0	0	0	9
a_k	1	-2	0	0	0

The bottom row contains five initial a_k.The next table contains numbers from the original sum (2), i.e. with $\zeta(2j+2)$ restored:

	$k=0$	$k=1$	$k=2$	$k=3$	$k=4$
$j=0$	1.645	1.645	1.645	1.645	1.645
$j=1$	0	-3.25	-6.49	-9.74	-12.99
$j=2$	0	0	5.09	15.26	30.52
$j=3$	0	0	0	-7.03	-28.11
$j=4$	0	0	0	0	9.01
A_k	1.645	-1.60	0.238	0.136	0.0721

It is clear that upper rows differ significantly, especially the 0-th row ($j=0$) which contains $\zeta(2)=\pi^2/6=1.645...$ instead of 1. As before the bottom row contains five initial A_k.

Finally, it should be stressed out that the very fact of existence of expansion (1) for the zeta of Riemann is by no means obvious. There are many examples of regular, even elementary functions (e.g. the exponential function) for which hypergeometric-like expansion do not converge. Numerical experiments show that between equally spaced nodes there are growing oscillations. The key feature here is that coefficients A_k should tend to zero sufficiently fast which is not true for every function.

References

[Titchmarsh 1986] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, Clarendon Press, 1986 (second edition)

[Maslanka 1997] K. Maślanka, *Hypergeometric-like Representation of the Zeta-Function of Riemann*, Cracow Observatory preprint, 1997; cf. also: AMS preprints http://www.ams.org/preprints/11/199707/199707-11-002/199707-11-002.html

[Hawking 1977] S. W. Hawking, Comm. Math. Phys. **55**, 133 (1977)